

ig No. _____

upside: To check 1. Tag dil. amount (since 1.50 results in one pg 147 case)

2. mix of (Tag + DV)
against

3. freshly made (added separately)

Tube	U of Tag	% Tube	(T + DV)
2	5) lyl ent	13 14	.5 ml .50 + .0050
4	2.5	15 16	1 + .01
6	2	17 18	1.5 + .015
8	1.5	19 20	2 + .02
10	1	21 22	5 + .05
11	0		
	↑		

(added in 5µl,) 45µl rx (+ added in 5µl)

are cycling conditions.

sh 1U Tag + D.V .005, .01, .02 U - diluted in 5µl.
2U Tag + D.V " " "

Result: w. Tag alone
1U barely results in
product.

- frequent freeze-thawing?
(

2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5 Tag

To Page No. _____

ed & Understood by me,

Date

Invented by

Date


Recorded by

A. Silvarman

1/3/95

From Page No.


Premix:



0.5 1 1.5 2 5 ml 1:01/2

~~↓ reported ^{to} again - books ok~~

fresh mix:



1.0 T₀ + 0.005 . 01 . 020 | 0.005 . 01 . 02 + 20 T₀

2x.02

प्रति

- Both with 10
level of Cu^{2+}
increasing the
amount of D
from 0.005
to 0.2
the product
gels up!

- premises seem
be holding

Witnessed & Understood by me,

Dat

Invented by

Date _____

Recorded by _____

To Page 1